**Questions regarding Vallecito Reservoir Draft Recreation Management Plan**

The following questions refer to Section 8.2, Fees and Section 8.3, Fee pricing levels for Recreation Permits.

You have shown how recreation permit fees are comparable to other neighboring recreation projects in Section 8.3, I am unable to determine if Commercial Use Permit Fees were similarly compared.

You List Fees for Commercial Use Permits in Section 8.3, Table 3.

Those fees for some neighboring recreation projects are listed below.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Commercial Use Permits (For Profit)*** | **Vallecito**  | **Navajo State Park** | **McFee Reservoir** | **Lake Powell** |
| Application (Non-Refundable) | $100.00 |  | $200 | $350 |
| Permit & Administrative Costs (After Application is Approved) | $200.00 |  |  |  |
| Permit Gross Revenue Fee | 20% |  | 3% | 8% |
| Single Fee |  | $500 |  |  |

As you can see, based on comparisons with neighboring recreation projects, PRID’s Gross revenue of 20% is higher than those neighboring recreation projects.

**Question 1:** How do you justify the higher 20% Permit Gross Revenue fee?

**Question 2:** How were comparisons and justification for Permit Gross Revenue Fee or adjust PRID Fees to be more in line with neighboring recreation projects obtained?

**Question3:** If “PRID will reimburse special and commercial use permit holders 10% of the revenue generated on the sale of individual recreation use permits sold for their specific event/activity” as stated in Section 8.2, why not just charge 10% initially?

**Question 4:** How do commercial use permit holders receive this reimbursement?

**Question 5:** How can individuals obtain accounting details where the revenue from permit fees have been used to sustain recreation at Vallecito Reservoir and support future recreational improvements?